

**Remarks**

**1. Summary of Office Action**

In the Office Action mailed October 23, 2008, the Examiner withdrew the objections with respect to the drawings and specification. Additionally, the Examiner rejected claims 1-27 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

**2. Amendments to the Claims**

Applicants have amended claims independent 1, 4, 10, and 15 to recite the invention more clearly.

Presently pending in this application are claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-20, and 27, of which claims 1, 4, 10, and 15 are independent and the remainder are dependent.

**3. Response to §112 Claim Rejections**

As noted above, the Examiner rejected claims 1-27 on grounds of indefiniteness. In the Office Action, the Examiner noted that the terms "*at least* attenuated downlink data and pre echo canceller uplink data" and "*at least* the uplink echo return loss" are unclear. Applicants have amended the independent claims to delete the phrase "at least" from those terms per Examiner's suggestion. See Office Action, page 3. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections.

**4. Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and allowance of those claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 23, 2009

By: /Joanna Skyles/  
Joanna Skyles  
Reg. No. 54,454